Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations  
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester: Spring 2010          Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

[Response]

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

They were difficult but the lecture was structured to help.  
I would have preferred a more structured wrap-up after each week with a few words about each article for ease of recollection.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

Interesting assignments with a good mix of ideas.  
Tailor course to make final more resource friendly with the help of notes.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?

   Yes

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   Yes

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   Yes, very much so

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

great professor in terms of both lecture and help

final is intimidating due to lack of structure in lecture and article synax to wrap up each class
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester:  Spring 2010  Professor:  Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   I think the objectives were very clear and precise.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   My background with quantitative analysis was limited so the coursework challenged me a lot.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   I liked class discussion. I would suggest having one of the reverse engineer designs due earlier in the semester.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   
   \[ \text{Yes} \]

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   
   \[ \text{Yes} \]

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   
   \[ \text{Yes} \]

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Excellent
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  **DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations**

Semester:  **Spring 2010**  Professor:  **Martin Edwards**

**About the Course**

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?
   
   I felt the last few weeks were the best organized and it was quite clear how the papers were linked together and what their purposes were.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?
   
   I find that the class tended to drag on towards the end and that a short break halfway through would improve the concentration of students.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?

   I felt that parts of the research design was less clear than it could have been. Going over an example in class and explaining what it did good and bad would have helped.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   Yes.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   Yes and he was quite helpful in clearing up problems.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

While I did enjoy the class for the most part I found it difficult at times to tell when Professor Edwards was asking a rhetorical question or expecting an answer. Professor Edwards humor was quite amusing if a bit esoteric, but it could also be a little condescending.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester:  Spring 2010  Professor:  Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?
   - Well organized & very clear.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?
   - RD was an excellent assignment, but I think research methods should be a pre-reg for this course. RM should be 1st semester course w/ IR theory to prepare students for IO.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?
   1) All the readings tied together & the lectures were interesting
   2) There should be a 5 minute break halfway through class. It is impossible to keep the attention of students for 2 hours straight.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   Yes

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   Yes

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   Yes

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor:

Excellent Class & Instructor. Best I've had in my time at the Whitehead School up to this point.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester: Spring 2010 Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?
   The course was well organized and the objectives were very clear. Overall, a good experience.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?
   The assignments made me think very critically, more so than any other class. The research design and exercises in operationalization were a major help.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?
   The reading was heavy; I wish I could focus more on a few of them — the issue of depth vs. distance.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   Yes.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   Yes, more so than any other professor.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   Yes.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor:

I found this course very stimulating and the professor exceptional — continue the good work.
About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

Clarity of objectives was clear and concise.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

The research project and reading materials were very difficult for me, but they challenged how I learn by making me rethink my viewpoints and learn new ways to comprehend.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

I enjoyed the readings. I would suggest this class have 1 week that explains the differences between LO and NGO; it may seem basic but it would have helped to have some background.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?

   For the most part, I have trouble learning from being asked a question from my question. I know it's designed to make me think, I just find it unhelpful.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   Yes.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   Absolutely. He's very easy to connect with.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Overall, the class structure was fine. The instructor was prepared and helpful. He doesn't match my learning style, but he does a good job.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations
Semester: Spring 2010 Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   The course was good but I still haven't understood why we focused too much on research design in an IO class.
   The faculty has too different classes that focus solely on "research design."

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   The readings were selected through a detailed process and I appreciate the professor's effort but the readings assigned for each week was too many. I don't think everyone had time to read 3-4 articles which consisted of 80-40 pages each every week.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   I was a little bored by going through all the readings each week. Nothing surprising and exciting. I don't know what should be done to change it.
   On the other hand, professor was very nice to students all the time.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   \[\text{Yes}\]

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   \[\text{Yes}\]

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   \[\text{Yes}\]

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

\[\text{Good}\]
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: **DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations**

Semester: **Spring 2010**
Professor: **Martin Edwards**

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   *Objectives, expectations, assignments are clearly defined.*

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   *The class offered a new (fertile) type of assignment - research project which was very challenging in most/all aspects, mainly analysis. Research is very informative, analytical, challenging. Definitely a new level of assessment and analysis of information.*

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   *I wish I decided to take research methods class before this one, as it would help to better shape research project in this class. Interesting and challenging readings.*
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   yes

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   yes

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   absolutely

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Interesting and challenging course. Class was clear, organization and greatest instructors feedback, which helped a lot.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: **DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations**

Semester: **Spring 2010**  Professor: **Martin Edwards**

About the Course

1. **What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?**

   organized well, although I wish the research design proposal was pushed back some — to better grasp how to "operationalize" variables.

2. **In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?**

   Assignments helped me think critically about other scholars’ work & learn how to pinpoint critical parts of arguments.

3. **What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?**

   Dr. Edwards’ sense of humor.

   Improvements — perhaps more time for research proposal.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   
   *yes*

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   
   *very prepared*

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   
   *yes*

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

*Instructor very helpful; he was always available to talk and very responsive to emails.*
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester: Spring 2010  Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   In the beginning, the class did not feel organized, but as the semester went on, it made more sense.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   Quantity was a bit much to keep up with and content was difficult. However, it was good to see many examples of different types of work.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   Last 4 weeks' topics were interesting
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   
   This was more than sufficient.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   Very.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   Extremely available and helpful.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Overall, I found this class difficult. Work load seemed to be a bit much. Class was split between content and procedure.

Challenging but overall, beneficial for IO study.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations
Semester: Spring 2010   Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?
   The course was clearly organized and followed a good progress.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?
   Definitely improved my research and analytical skills. Taught me how to identify independent and dependent variables. Also learned how to operationalize stuff.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?
   I liked learning about overarching themes of for. I would actually make this course about f's and not about research methods. I came into this class thinking we'd learn about specific f's, not what I expect.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   
   Yes

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   
   Yes

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   
   Yes, always available

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Not a fan of the course. Not what I expected. It was more about research methods and not IO. I wish I learned more about FDI and less about variable. I signed up for a JO course, not research methods, also, pretty heavy coding load.

Great and nice professor, but better suited for research methods not JO. Might possibly take him again for method,
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations
Semester: Spring 2010 Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   The course was logically organized. Objectives were clear, however the process was difficult to discern. Individual assignments were not adequately explained and required additional consultation.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   The assignments, readings, and lectures were very challenging. This challenging nature helped me to better understand and analyze arguments.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   I enjoyed the last 4 weeks as theory has applied to different dimensions. I would suggest taking Research Methods before IO as a prerequisite.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?

   Objectives and assignments were clear. Expectations and grading policies seemed somewhat biased to those who regularly consulted with the teacher.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   Yes

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   He was always available and quick to respond to email.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

The course was interesting and challenging. The instructor was capable, intelligent and entertaining. However, expectations and grading need to reflect effort rather than amount of outside consultation.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations
Semester:  Spring 2010       Professor:  Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

Objectives were sometimes confused with research methods. The course would be better if designed in reverse from the way this class was taught, material wise.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

The research design took a lot of time and effort to complete.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

The class discussions were the best part. I would suggest organizing the class differently.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   
   *Somewhat so. Sometimes a little more guidance could have been helpful.*

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   *Yes.*

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   *Yes, although visiting him for help could be somewhat intimidating.*

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

*Overall, the professor was helpful and knew his stuff. However, the course could be more beneficial if designed differently.*
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations
Semester:  Spring 2010  Professor:  Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?
   
   The course was organized well, the objectives were clear.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   Well, they certainly pushed me to approach research in a new way. The assignments were challenging, but beneficial in the long run.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   Your (Prof. Edwards') sense of humor.
   - I also enjoyed the way you handled your comments on our papers - very effective and informative.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?

   Well, I would've appreciated getting my first round back before having to write my second.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   Yes

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   Yes - but my UW schedule crowded some problems about when I could come in - but your email responses were always prompt

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

The class was challenging, but your nerdy jokes and pop culture references made it worth while.

I especially enjoyed a reference you made to Brigadoon. I don't know of any other green man who has seen that classic film I will admit to it.

Well done, sir.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester: Spring 2010 Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   The course was well organized and all objectives were clear.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   The readings & assignments for the class were very demanding and helped me a lot with my research skills especially. I am much better off than when I started.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   Was usually an interesting class and we related what we did to the practical field. I would like that we stay on the topic more often and not deviate too much.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   Yes, he did

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   Yes, he was

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   Yes, he was

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Dr. Edwards is a very professional lecturer and very helpful to his students. One of the best professors. The course was very insightful about IO work. In all, was a very good class.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: **DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations**

Semester: **Spring 2010**  Professor: **Martin Edwards**

**About the Course**

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   This course was clearly a VERY extensive study and research on IOs and how they work. We covered everything from A to Z and back and over and under. It was thoroughly exhausting and thoroughly satisfying.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   The research design was definitely the toughest thing I've ever written. It was an excellent challenging idea, though I wish I had had more time to work on it. Maybe if you included more steps or dead lines ex: outline, rough draft. The lectures were enlivening. The readings were okay, maybe if you had less

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest? See above!

   I would feel like I could focus more on them. A lot of times I felt like I had to rush through them and didn't get as much info as I had hoped.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?

   I think he could give more guidance on the research design, but he was very clear on grading and his expectations.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   This guy is brilliant. He clearly knows his stuff.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   One of the things I valued most about Edwards is his willingness to go above and beyond to help his students succeed. Lots of other faculty members fail utterly in this category, which makes me appreciate it that much more.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Give this guy his hard-earned tenure! To not do this would be the single most detrimental event ever to happen at the Whitehead School.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations  
Course Evaluation  

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations  
Semester: Spring 2010  
Professor: Martin Edwards  

About the Course  

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?  
   I thought the organization of the course was really coherent and followed a logical path. I like material that builds upon itself. The clarity of objectives were recognizable once in lecture and the wrap-up points at the end of class were very helpful.  

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?  
The reading materials challenged me to really analyze how the authors develop their arguments. Honestly some of the reading was really hard to grasp but the lectures really helped clarify. I feel like the assignments were a good precursor to research methods but didn't necessarily help my understanding of the importance of IOs.  

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?  
   I enjoyed the end material addressing different aspects of IO work the most. It's easier to see how IOs function and how they impact various parts of society through this material. I would suggest maybe more in-depth analysis of some specific organizations.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   The objectives and expectations were very clear, which was very helpful.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   He was very well-prepared for class and knew the material very well.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   He was very available

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester:  Spring 2010  Professor:  Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the
   clarity of objectives?
   I thought it was organized well. (I'm curious to how the course will be
designed next year). The syllabus was logical and would build on
previous issues that we would see later on the course.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to
   improve your research and analytical skills?
   You realize quickly reading these papers and essays require a system.
   You need to ID the main idea, IVs & DVs, and etc, and be
   able to process the info quickly. On top of that, you have to
   communicate this knowledge in the classroom do show understand.
   If you don't do it, you're embarrassed or totally lost, which is forced
   one to improve my research/analytical skills.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you
   suggest?
   - the practical skills we learned (analytical, critical thinking)
   - creating a research design, how to create a puzzle/question
   for research) are skills I use for every class.
   - I would stress more in the beginning of the course that
   we need to work with each other outside of class. Having a study group helps me a lot, and I don't think
   others in the class realize the utility.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   Yes.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   Yes.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   Yes. Emails answered almost immediately. Almost like a real time source of info.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

I like that he takes the mentoring seriously, which means he can be a resource in the future outside the class, which I'm very appreciative of.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester: Spring 2010       Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   Very well organized in theory though sometimes you're hard to follow w/ all the pop culture references.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   The research design was great but hard to figure out at first. As for class readings, they were often dry until class - I wish there were a way to bring them to life from the pages themselves.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   I think it would be beneficial to encourage students to do some "divide & conquer" with the readings - set up groups to each read 1 article and discuss before class. I think a lot of people (including myself at times) haven't thought through anything before class discussion but to have discussed once before class would make class discussions deeper.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?

   Yes - always clearly operationalized.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?

   Complete w/ good stand up.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?

   Always. Definitely one of the most approachable professors on campus.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Good. Solid class.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number: DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations

Semester: Spring 2010  Professor: Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?

   I thought the course was very well structured and organized. Every expectation was clearly presented.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?

   The readings and the manner in which they were discussed in class challenged me in many ways - many good ways. The skills I gained in reading scholarly articles and being able to determine the argument and the design are invaluable. They have already helped me in other classes. The research design - while ridiculous at times - was extremely beneficial. I have definitely learned more in this class than I have in all my other classes combined.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?

   I appreciated the way Dr. Edwards is able to pull together all the readings - it makes me feel like they actually have a purpose. I also appreciate the way he pulls out the differences/problems with articles that we would otherwise miss.

One note: I did not appreciate the fact that our problem statements were due (4-30) in the 4th week of classes. Perhaps after another week of readings, I would have been more prepared.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   Yes - always. Additionally, he was excellent at returning email questions/inquiries.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   Yes - it's very apparent that he puts a lot of time and energy into his courses. I appreciate this and it makes us want to work harder and be more prepared for class.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   YES!

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor:
Great course, great info, great structure, great prof.
Whitehead School of Diplomacy and International Relations
Course Evaluation

Course Name and Number:  DIPL 6002 AA: International Organizations
Semester:  Spring 2010    Professor:  Martin Edwards

About the Course

1. What is your assessment of the way the course was organized, including the clarity of objectives?
   - clear objectives - organization of relevant 10 theory was good and thorough.

2. In what way did the assignments, reading materials, and lectures challenge you to improve your research and analytical skills?
   - Reading materials lengthy and at times hard to determine what to look for in readings.
   - Class discussions = good. Definitely tests ability to think critically and answer questions analytically & intelligently.
   - Research project = good, important. However, may be redundant with Research Method course.
   - RERDs good - would be better to have to learn now to do this earlier.

3. What did you enjoy most in this course, and which improvements would you suggest?
   - Enjoyed enthusiasm of professor research project.
   - Would recommend assigning project proposal later in semester to give students more time to get general understanding of 10 before having to choose a semester-long project on it.
About the Instructor

1. Did the instructor provide enough information and guidance about course objectives, assignments, expectations, and grading policies?
   
   Yes. All was very clear and explicit.

2. Was the instructor well-prepared for class?
   
   Always. However, took about 3-4 weeks to get graded assignments back at some points.

3. Was the instructor available for help outside of the classroom (i.e., during office hours, or when asked)?
   
   Always. In fact it was strongly encouraged and was deemed necessary for success in the course.

Please provide an overall assessment of the course and/or the instructor

Enthusiasm for topic and teaching is very refreshing. Tough, but rewarding. While grading took a while at times, it was definitely worth it because of the thorough comments/feedback that come with the grading.